

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	06/26/2000	Yong Zou	8737-000007	8304
09/529,653	00/20/2000	-		
7590 03/27/2002				
Harness Dickey & Pierce			EXAMINER	
PO Box 828			WALLS, DIONNE A	
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48303				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	1)
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

•	(4)		AS-12
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/529,653	ZOU ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Dionne A. Walls	1731
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover si	neet with the correspondence address
Period fo	r Reply		
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howevery within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12-	<u>11-2001</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	his action is non-fina	al.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	rance except for for Ex parte Quayle, 1	mal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
	Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are pending in the applica	ation.	The second section is a second
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from considera	tion.
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		e grande. Programme de la companya de la comp
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requiren	nent.
	tion Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10)	l The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a)□ acc	cepted or b) dobjecte	ed to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.65(a).
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)⊡ approve	d b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office act	ion.
12)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been rece	ived.
1/8	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been rece	eived in Application No
,' A	application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified co	opies not received.
14)[7	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional applicat	ion has been received.
Attachn			
1) 🛛 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(4) 5) (s) 6)	

Application/Control Number: 09/529,653

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 8266261 (Abstract).

JP 8266261 discloses a cigarette having a main body and a filter attached integrally to an end of the main body, said filter comprising dried and crushed ginkgo leaves (see abstract). By providing a cigarette filter formed of gingko leaves, a cigarette comprising ginkgo leaves "as its burnable material" is obviously provided because a filter can be considered "material" that is included in a conventional cigarette, and said gingko leaves, being plant material, are obviously "burnable".

3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buchmann et al (US. Pat. No. 3,820,548).

Buchmann et al teaches that a cigarette can be produced having a tobacco substitute which comprises non-woody parts of trees, shrubs, plants, etc. Specifically, the leaves of trees are disclosed as being suitable material for said tobacco substitute (col. 2, lines 57-67; col. 4, lines 13-15; see abstract). While Buchmann et al may not specifically disclose gingko leaves as the burnable material for its tobacco substitute, it does disclose that the substitute of its invention can be derived from a wide variety of

Application/Control Number: 09/529,653

Art Unit: 1731

Page 3

plant types as long as the part used as the substitute contains little wood (col. 4, lines 4-7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ gingko leaves as a tobacco substitute because said leaves are the non-poisonous, non-woody parts of the gingko biloba tree which would provide the smoker with a product that is free from the detrimental components of tobacco – which is the goal of Buchmann et al (col. 1, lines 21-23).

Regarding claim 3, while there may be no articulation that the cigarette would contain 100 wt % ginkgo biloba leaves, Buchmann et al states that the plants which are used can be selected in order to provide desired agents in the tobacco smoke (col. 3, lines 16-18). This would suggest to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the leaves in a weight percentage that would allow for a specific desired affect for the smoker, i.e. reduced appetite, modification of circulation, pursuant to the teachings of Buchmann et al (col. 3, lines 18-19). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate 100% gingko leaves in order to ensure that the smoker will appreciate the full benefits of the non-toxic, tobacco substitute.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on December 11th, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Applicant argues that nothing in the JP 8266261 reference discloses, teaches or suggests the incorporation of gingko leaves as the burnable material; however, the examiner disagrees. See paragraph 2, above.

Application/Control Number: 09/529,653

Art Unit: 1731

- The examiner notes that Applicant has amended claim 2; however, this claim was cancelled by Preliminary Amendment A. Therefore, the Amendment was not entered, or considered.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne A. Walls whose telephone number is (703) 305-0933. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7AM - 4:30PM (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1731

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Dionne A. Walls March 22, 2002

Page 5